

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10 BRANCH BANKING & TRUST CO.,

11 Plaintiff(s),

12 v.

13 POST RAINBOW, LLC, et al.,

14 Defendant(s).
15

Case No. 2:11-cv-01820-GMN-NJK

**ORDER CONTINUING
SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE**

ORDER STAYING CASE

16 On May 7, 2014, the Court held a settlement conference in this case. Docket No. 101. At the
17 parties' request, the Court continued the settlement conference. *See* Docket No. 102. On September
18 10, 2014, the Court held the continued settlement conference. Docket No. 105. The parties have now
19 requested an additional continuance to the settlement conference, which the Court hereby **GRANTS**.
20 Accordingly, the Court **CONTINUES** the settlement conference to December 2, 2014, at 10:00 a.m.
21 The parties shall submit amended confidential settlement statements to chambers no later than
22 November 25, 2014. All other requirements for the settlement conference outlined in Docket No. 80
23 continue to apply.

24 The parties are ordered to diligently gather the information discussed during the settlement
25 conference. To the extent either party believes they will not be prepared to engage in meaningful
26 discussions at the continued settlement conference due to, *inter alia*, a lack of information or inadequate
27 time to review information, that party shall request a further continuance of the settlement conference
28 no later than November 20, 2014. Similarly, if either party believes that a further settlement conference

1 would be futile, that party shall request that the Court vacate the settlement conference no later than
2 November 20, 2014. **The Court reminds the parties that appearing for a settlement conference**
3 **with no intention of engaging in meaningful settlement discussions wastes judicial and party**
4 **resources, and may result in the imposition of sanctions pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil**
5 **Procedure 16(f).** See *Guillory v. Dotmar Indus. Inc.*, 95 F.3d 1320, 1334 (5th Cir. 1996); see also
6 *Hansen v. Robinson Nev. Mining Co.*, 2013 U.S. Dist. Lexis 118011, *2 (D. Nev. Aug. 20, 2013);
7 *Travelers Property Cas. Co. Of Am. v. Las Vegas Township Constables Office*, 2013 WL 3975664, *8
8 (D. Nev. Aug. 1, 2013); *Hansen v. State Farm Mutual Auto. Co.*, 2013 WL 1385639, *4 (D. Nev. Apr.
9 3, 2013). The Court expects that the parties will appear at the continued settlement conference prepared
10 to discuss actual terms for resolving this case unless a request to continue or vacate the settlement
11 conference is timely filed.

12 The Court further **ORDERS** that this case be stayed during the pendency of the continued
13 settlement conference.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 DATED: September 10, 2014

16 
17 _____
18 Nancy J. Koppe
19 United States Magistrate Judge
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28